

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,492	02/22/2002	Jan-Olof Joel Wesstrom	980.1373US01	8498	
38846	7590 01/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CARLSON, CASPERS, VANDENBURGH & LINDQUIST			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
225 SO. 6TH SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MPIS, MN	55402		2828		
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(m	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>	
Advisory Action	10/080,492	WESSTROM, JAN-	WESSTROM, JAN-OLOF JOEL	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	iress	
THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2003 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	s application. A proper re ent which places the appli	ply to a cation in	
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b	D)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amoned statutory period for reply origina	unt of the fee. The appropriate ex Ily set in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	pecause:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or	simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected clai	ms.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely file	d amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		en considered but does NO	OT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which we	ere newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	::	0 .0		
Claim(s) allowed:		Paul Do		
Claim(s) objected to:			WER	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .	St	JPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	inek oo	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 280	JU	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapprov	ved by the Examiner.		

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/080,492

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the Sahlen 5416866 reference, Fig. 4 clearly shows a tunable waveguide 6', an amplifying material 5' disposed in a parallel relationship beside the tunable waveguide 6', and charge carriers injected into the tunable material and the amplifying material. Therefore, claims 1-20 cannot be patentable over the Sahlen reference.